

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,924	07/08/2004	Eckhard Braun	2732-126	8945
6449	7590 05/18/2006		EXAMINER	
	L, FIGG, ERNST & MAI	WILLIAMS, KEVIN D		
1425 K STRE SUITE 800	EEI, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20005		2854	
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			8				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/500,924	BRAUN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kevin D. Williams	2854					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tte, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  Sply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	February 2006.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	<u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 and 9-25 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-4,14-18 and 20-2 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,9-13 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	5 is/are withdrawn from cor	sideration.					
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2004</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	a) accepted or b) objected or b) obj	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	<b>)</b> ).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A fority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 11 recites the broad recitation "less than 400 square millimeters", and the claim also recites "less than 100 square millimeters" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,924 Page 3

Art Unit: 2854

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 9, 10, 12, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mayer (DE 19845436).

Mayer teaches a steel intaglio printing plate 1 comprising a printing plate surface 2 having at least one first area 3a with steel intaglio structures and at least one second area 3b with embossing structures, wherein a height or lateral structural size of the embossing structures is of an order of magnitude in the range of 5 to 100 microns (Fig. 1;tb) or the height or lateral structural size of the embossing structures is of an order of magnitude of less than 1 micron such that a diffractive relief structure can be embossed therewith, and wherein the parts of the embossing structures closest to the printing plate surface are located 20 microns to 100 microns (tb; claim 6) below the printing plate surface, the parts of the embossing structures closest to the printing plate surface 2 or molding plane are located at least 40 microns (tb; claim 6) away from the printing plate surface 2 or molding plane, respectively, the parts of the embossing structures closest to the printing plate surface (9) or molding plane are located at most 60 microns (tb; claim 6) away from the printing plate surface or molding plane, respectively, and a plurality of areas 3b with embossing structures constitute an embossed structure grid.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,924 Page 4

Art Unit: 2854

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mayer in view of Mayer (WO 00/20217).

Mayer teaches the claimed invention except for the area of the embossing structures having an area size of less than 400 square millimeters, preferably less than 100 square millimeters, and the second area with embossing structures being separated from the first area with steel intaglio structures or from another second area with embossing structures by a separation bar extending as far as the printing plate surface or molding plane, the separation bar having a width of at least 0.5 millimeters.

Mayer (WO 00/20217) teaches a printing plate having embossing structures having an area size of less than 400 square millimeters, preferably less than 100 square millimeters (page 6, lines 12-16), and an area of embossing structures being separated from an area of steel intaglio structures or from another area with embossing structures by a separation bar (d; page 9, lines 15-20) extending as far as the printing plate surface or molding plane, the separation bar having a width of at least 0.5 millimeters.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mayer to have the plate arrangement as taught by Mayer (WO 00/20217), in order to print a quality security features on a medium.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 2/21/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the area 3b of Mayer is not an embossing structure. The examiner disagrees. Area 3b of Mayer produces an embossed image. See 11b in figure 2. As the area 3b produces an embossed image in the medium, it constitutes an embossing structure. The examiner contends that the entire area 3b is an embossing structure such that it has a height that extends from its surface 7b to a height level with surface 2.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,924 Page 6

Art Unit: 2854

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin D. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-2172. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew H. Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KDW

May 14, 2006

Daniel J. Colilla
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2854